| 1 | | |
|----|--|--------------------------------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | GABRIEL TECHNOLOGIES | Civil No. 08-cv-1992-MMA (POR) |
| 11 | CORPORATION and TRACE TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, | · |
| 12 | Plaintiffs, | ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' |
| 13 | v. | MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY |
| 14 | QUALCOMM INCORPORATED; SNAPTRACK, INC.; and NORMAN | [Doc. 111] |
| 15 | KRASNER, | |
| 16 | Defendants. | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | On September 30, 2010, Plaintiffs Gabriel Technology Corporation and Trace Technologies, | |
| 19 | LLC filed the instant Motion to Stay Discovery or to Modify the Scheduling Order. [Doc. 111]. | |
| 20 | Plaintiffs contend that any additional discovery will be rendered moot if they are unable to post the | |
| 21 | outstanding bond issued by the Honorable Judge Michael M. Anello on September 20, 2010. [Doc. | |
| 22 | 110]. Defendants oppose Plaintiffs' request to stay all discovery, but are amenable to a partial stay. | |
| 23 | [Doc. 113]. Good cause appearing, the Court hereby GRANTS Plaintiffs' Motion to Stay Discovery | |
| 24 | // | |
| 25 | // | |
| 26 | // | |
| 27 | // | |
| 28 | | |
| | | |

- 1 -

pending the issuance of a bond or until **December 20, 2010**, the expiration of the 90-day period for Plaintiff to do so. DATED: October 12, 2010 LOUISA S PORTER United States Magistrate Judge The Honorable Michael M. Anello cc: All parties

- 2 -

08-cv-1992-MMA (POR)